



08-29-2006

#401

truffy

Inactive



Join Date: Jul 2006
Posts: 1,593
27 Reputation Points

Mondo, please look at this again...

Quote:

Originally Posted by **truffy** ▾
This is the information from the Bible.ca website...

The five NWT translators for the published 1950 NWT Bible were: Nathan Knorr, Albert Schroeder, George Gangas, M. Henschel, Fred Franz

Fred Franz however, was the only one with sufficient knowledge of the Bible languages to attempt translation of this kind. He had studied Greek for two years in the University of Cincinnati but was only self-taught in Hebrew.

Four out of the five men on the committee had no Hebrew or Greek training at all. They had only a high school education. Franz studied Greek for two years at the University of Cincinnati, but dropped out after his sophomore year. When asked in a Scotland courtroom if he could translate [Genesis 2:4](#) into Hebrew, Franz replied that he could not. The truth is that Franz was unable to translate Hebrew or Greek. What we are left with is a very inexperienced translating committee that twisted Scripture to make it fit the Society's doctrine.

I'll look again for some more information about Rutherford.

You said some of this is false, so please be very specific...and tell us all which words you are referring to?

- 1-Is it the list of names who were the translators?
- 2-Is it "Fred Franz however, was the only one with sufficient knowledge of the Bible languages to attempt translation of this kind."?
- 3-Is it "He had studied Greek for two years in the University of Cincinnati but was only self-taught in Hebrew."?
- 4-Is it "Four out of the five men on the committee had no Hebrew or Greek training at all. They had only a high school education."?
- 5-Is it "Franz studied Greek for two years at the University of Cincinnati, but dropped out after his sophomore year."?
- 6-Is it "When asked in a Scotland courtroom if he could translate [Genesis 2:4](#) into Hebrew, **Franz replied that he could not**. The truth is that Franz was unable to translate Hebrew or Greek."?
- 7-Is it "What we are left with is a very inexperienced translating committee that twisted Scripture to make it fit the Society's doctrine."?

I put in what Franz said in the courtroom in bold, so I remind you these words were not quoted directly from Franz, there are no quotations in Franz's statement. If you want the quotations, you will have to look elsewhere. The truth is all of these sentences were accurate and there is no false information in this.

Tim 😊😊😊



08-29-2006

#402

[katierussell](#)

Member

Join Date: May 2006
Posts: 1,481
16 Reputation Points



No, at [John 1;1c](#) theos is not the subject of the sentence, logos is. All sentences must have a finite verb. theos is not an article it is a noun. theos in [John 1;1c](#) is the predicate. It tells us what the logos is. theos in [Luke 12:24](#) is the subject. Theos in [Luke 12:24](#) has the article. Theos is [John 1;1c](#) does not. In English the sentence is subject-verb-predicate. So many translate it as "The Word(subject) was(finite verb) God(predicate.) However, this can also be translated "The Word(subject) was (the finite verb) a god.(the predicate)"



08-29-2006

#403

[mondo](#)

501-1000 Posts

Join Date: Mar 2006
Posts: 816
13 Reputation Points



You've repeated yourself here a lot.. but no substance. You were the one trying to prove [John 1:1a](#) means something. I shot that down, showing it didn't prove it. Your burden of proof, and based upon the grammar, it has been demonstrated that all the quotes in the world will not prove it.

Vine still says "a god" is literal.

And as for [John 1:10](#), however you want to read it, he still came to be in the world and hence he HN in the world. Thus, no matter how you want to look at it, it is not eternal for the world is not eternal! He had to come to be in it at some point, even if it was at the creation of it, thus an aorist sense is included.

Anything else you wanna try me with? I'm waiting for a challenge here.



08-29-2006

#404

[mondo](#)

501-1000 Posts

Join Date: Mar 2006
Posts: 816
13 Reputation Points



Quote:

Originally Posted by **AmazingGrace**
What do you think [Isaiah 9:6](#) says?

[Isaiah 9:6](#) gives a name. That doesn't mean the child is the thing described by the name. See [Isaiah 8:3](#) to see what I mean!



08-29-2006

#405

[Keith Courage](#)



CARM Super Member



Join Date: Jun 2006
Posts: 2,109
49 Reputation Points

Quote:

Originally Posted by **mondo**
Isaiah 9:6 gives a name. That doesn't mean the child is the thing described by the name. See [Isaiah 8:3](#) to see what I mean!

That is ridiculous to apply that logic in this case. Why would our LORD be given four meaningless names in [Isa 9:6](#)? Or are you saying the other three names are true and just the Mighty God name is false? If Isaiah under inspiration says His names are Wonderful Counselor, Mighty God, Eternal Father, and Prince of Peace, I will default to taking Isaiah at his word. Incidentally, the Watchtower Society claims that Jesus Christ IS the Prince of Peace, not just a person who holds a title that doesn't describe Him personally. Do you disagree with the Watchtower Society again? Would you like references?

Former Member of the Jehovah's Witnesses (1991-2006).
Soldier of the Cross, Defender of Christianity and the Gospel of Jesus Christ.
"And he that taketh not his cross, and followeth after me, is not worthy of me." - *Mat 10:38*



08-29-2006

#406

Toejamken

Junior Member

Join Date: Jul 2006
Location: NW Florida
Posts: 89
16 Reputation Points



Hello Pat,

Quote:

Originally Posted by **pestmanpat1**
hay ken
jehovah sent jesus,jesus carried out his fathers will.jesus does the will of his father,represents his father.they are both regarded as our savior,once again its all about context.

I understand the basics. The reason both are regarded as Savior is because Jesus is YHWH, and not a creation. YHWH calls the Son YHWH. If the YHWH calls the Son YHWH, He must posses the same Substance as YHWH. The context is clear:

"Behold, the days are coming," declares the LORD (YHWH),
When I will raise up for David a righteous Branch;
And He will reign as king and act wisely
And do justice and righteousness in the land.
In His days Judah will be saved,
And Israel will dwell securely;
And this is His name by which He will be called,
'The LORD (YHWH) our righteousness."
([Jeremiah 23](#))

Quote:

Originally Posted by **pestmanpat1**
and jehovah didnt need a created being.he dosent need anything.he chose to allow his son to carry out his plan.in creating.when he made us he gave us to the ability to create when we have a child.a peciouce gift from god.they are one in purpose but not in substance because jesus prayed to his father in heaven.and he said "not my will but your will" to

wills two people

They are one in purpose and one in substance. If you place water in a container, and put it in a vacuum at 0 degrees centigrade, the bottom is ice, the middle is liquid, and the top is gas. You have the same Substance manifested in Three states; solid, liquid, and gas. If one of the simplest substances can exist as three, it is not impossible for one God to exist in a Triunity. The context of the Bible reveals God as three Persons, yet They are not separate substances, but One Substance. If you look at the doctrine as 1+1+1 it won't make sense. You have to see it as 1x1x1.

Jesus exists as fully man and fully God. It is perfectly natural for a man to be obedient to the Father. As One who is also God, He is Lord of the Sabbath, and can forgive sins.

Ken



08-29-2006

#407

[mondo](#)

501-1000 Posts


Join Date: Mar 2006

Posts: 816

13 Reputation Points



Quote:

Originally Posted by **Keith Courage** 
That is ridiculous to apply that logic in this case. Why would our LORD be given four meaningless names in [Isa 9:6](#)? Or are you saying the other three names are true and just the Mighty God name is false? If Isaiah under inspiration says His names are Wonderful Counselor, Mighty God, Eternal Father, and Prince of Peace, I will default to taking Isaiah at his word. Incidentally, the Watchtower Society claims that Jesus Christ IS the Prince of Peace, not just a person who holds a title that doesn't describe Him personally. Do you disagree with the Watchtower Society again? Would you like references?

Keith,

Did you even bother to look at [Isaiah 8:3](#)????

Obviously not. Notice what it says:

[Isa 8:3](#) And I went unto the prophetess; and she conceived, and bare a son. Then said the LORD to me, Call his name Mahershalalhashbaz.

Do you know what Mahershalalhashbaz means?? It means NOTHING about the child himself! It means "swift is the booty, speedy is the prey." The ISBE relates that it is "a symbolic name given to Isaiah's son to signify the sharp destruction of Rezin and Pekah by the Assyrian power ([Isa 8:1](#), [Isa 8:3](#))."

In [Isaiah 9:6](#), the LXX translators understood the name to show the child not as the one described by the name, but as the messenger of the one described by the name, "the messenger of grand council." Hebrew names all have meanings, and when it comes to God, they do not describe the child, but they say something about God. (not to say that some names don't discuss the child's qualities, but there is no basis for assuming that in [Isaiah 9:6](#)). Thus Jehu means "Jehovah is he," but nobody would say that Jehu is Jehovah!



08-29-2006

#408

AmazingGrace

CARM Super Member



Join Date: Jun 2006
Location: Columbus, OH
Gender: ♀
Posts: 2,682
56 Reputation Points



Can you please explain how on earth you drew your conclusion here? Are we also supposed to believe that you can comprehend greek? Your arguments no longer carry any weight with me. Seriously, this is deplorable.

Until the end of our testing we cannot take for granted our being saved; **and therefore when someone asks, "Brother, are you saved?" we do not give a categorically affirmative reply, but qualify our answer, "Thus far, yes!"**w52 7/1 p391 See 1Jo 4 truth



08-29-2006

#409

Ongyo no Kiheiji

Senior Member

Join Date: Jan 2006
Posts: 2,280
18 Reputation Points



Quote:

Originally Posted by **AmazingGrace**
Mind explaining how the scripture you have there says anything about angels being gods?

5And causeth him to lack a little of Godhead, And with honour and majesty compasseth him.

What bible translation are you using? (I ask because no OT text uses a word that can even be remotely translated 'Godhead' here.) Mondo is using [Psalm 8:5](#) because the Hebrew text uses the word 'elohim' to describe the angels--a word that is translated most frequently as 'God' or 'god/s'. Therefore, the Bible does call the angels 'gods' (elohim). And as my post (to which no one has responded to) shows, the angels received proskuneo from the Israelites ([Genesis 18:2](#), [Numbers 22:31](#), [Joshua 5:14](#)). **And since the Bible calls the angels 'gods' (elohim) at Psalm 8:5 (just as Jesus is called theos, which is the equivalent of elohim) and they received proskuneo from a number of God's people (with no rebuking from the angels, no dire consequences, etc.), one could conclude that the Israelites are polytheistic, or that God allowed those whom He has called gods (that are not independent of his authority) to rightly receive proskuneo as well (by virtue of the authority granted to them by God Himself) without one accusing the Israelites of polytheism.**



08-29-2006

#410

Keith Courage

CARM Super Member



Join Date: Jun 2006
Posts: 2,109

Quote:

Originally Posted by **mondo**
Keith,

Did you even bother to look at [Isaiah 8:3](#)????

Obviously not. Notice what it says:

[Isa 8:3](#) And I went unto the prophetess; and she conceived, and bare a son. Then said the LORD to me, Call his name Mahershalalhashbaz.

49 Reputation Points

Do you know what Mahershalahashbaz means?? It means NOTHING about the child himself! It means "swift is the booty, speedy is the prey." The ISBE relates that it is "a symbolic name given to Isaiah's son to signify the sharp destruction of Rezin and Pekah by the Assyrian power (Isa 8:1, Isa 8:3)."

In [Isaiah 9:6](#), the LXX translators understood the name to show the child not as the one described by the name, but as the messenger of the one described by the name, "the messenger of grand council." Hebrew names all have meanings, and when it comes to God, they do not describe the child, but they say something about God. (not to say that some names don't discuss the child's qualities, but there is no basis for assuming that in [Isaiah 9:6](#)). Thus Jehu means "Jehovah is he," but nobody would say that Jehu is Jehovah!

Yes, I did read the verse and the footnote for the meaning of the name. Now, about Jesus Christ, my question to you is do you disagree with the Watchtower Society about Jesus ROLE **as** the Prince of Peace? And if so, how are you to determine which of Jesus other names apply directly to himself or to someone else as in [Isa 9:6](#)? The name Mighty God is not a description of YHWH (ex. "Who is Like God"), it is the title of GOD himself. By your stated logic of Hebrew names, this would also make the Prince of Peace YHWH, not Jesus! And this will make the second time I have read that you are not in agreement with your leaders!

**Former Member of the Jehovah's Witnesses (1991-2006).
Soldier of the Cross, Defender of Christianity and the Gospel of Jesus Christ.
"And he that taketh not his cross, and followeth after me, is not worthy of me." - [Mat 10:38](#)**



08-29-2006

#411

AmazingGrace

CARM Super Member



Join Date: Jun 2006
Location: Columbus, OH
Gender: ♀
Posts: 2,682
56 Reputation Points



You've lost your marbles.

Until the end of our testing we cannot take for granted our being saved; **and therefore when someone asks, "Brother, are you saved?" we do not give a categorically affirmative reply, but qualify our answer, "Thus far, yes!"**w52 7/1 p391 [See 1Jo 4 truth](#)



08-29-2006

#412

mondo

501-1000 Posts

Join Date: Mar 2006
Posts: 816
13 Reputation Points



Quote:

Originally Posted by **Keith Courage**
*Yes, I did read the verse and the footnote for the meaning of the name. Now, about Jesus Christ, my question to you is do you disagree with the Watchtower Society about Jesus ROLE **as** the Prince of Peace? And if so,*

how are you to determine which of Jesus other names apply directly to himself or to someone else as in [Isa 9:6](#)? The name Mighty God is not a description of YHWH (ex. "Who is Like God"), it is the title of GOD himself. By your stated logic of Hebrew names, this would also make the Prince of Peace YHWH, not Jesus! And this will make the second time I have read that you are not in agreement with your leaders!

You really aren't reading carefully. All of what is said as "might god, everlasting father, etc" is a single "name" it is not a description. If it said "he will be called," I would agree it describes Jesus, but it doesn't. It says "his name will be called," a singular name.



08-29-2006

#413

mondo

501-1000 Posts

Join Date: Mar 2006
Posts: 816
13 Reputation Points



Quote:

Originally Posted by **AmazingGrace**

You've lost your marbles.

Or you don't have a valid response so you turn to ad hominem.



08-29-2006

#414

mondo

501-1000 Posts

Join Date: Mar 2006
Posts: 816
13 Reputation Points



Quote:

Originally Posted by **Athanasian_Creed**

[John 20:28](#) (GB) Then Thomas answered, & said vnto him, Thou art my Lord, and my God.

Literal translation, "The Lord of me and the God of me"

Both are exclusive terms - Thomas acknowledges Jesus as his supreme God.

JW's are often accused of being polytheists, but are more henotheistic (belief in a 'supreme' Deity and (a) lesser deities) Both are as heretical as Arianism from which JWism sprung!

JESUS IS JEHOVAH, THE ALMIGHTY!!!

Ray 😊

No he doesn't. You are thinking that the word QEOS in western terms, not 1st century.



08-29-2006

#415

mondo

501-1000 Posts



Join Date: Mar 2006
Posts: 816
13 Reputation Points

Quote:

Originally Posted by **AmazingGrace**
Can you please explain how on earth you drew your conclusion here? Are we also supposed to believe that you can comprehend greek? Your arguments no longer carry any weight with me. Seriously, this is deplorable.

Yes, I can comprehend Greek. Believe it or don't. I really don't care. My conclusions are reach by the general use of Hebrew names and the specific use of them in this context of Isaiah.



08-29-2006

#416

AmazingGrace

CARM Super Member



Join Date: Jun 2006
Location: Columbus, OH
Gender: ♀
Posts: 2,682
56 Reputation Points



But they have nothing to do with the context or meaning of those scriptures. Perhaps you should concentrate on english comprehension?

Until the end of our testing we cannot take for granted our being saved; **and therefore when someone asks, "Brother, are you saved?" we do not give a categorically affirmative reply, but qualify our answer, "Thus far, yes!"**w52 7/1 p391 [See 1Jo 4 truth](#)



08-29-2006

#417

AmazingGrace

CARM Super Member



Join Date: Jun 2006
Location: Columbus, OH
Gender: ♀
Posts: 2,682
56 Reputation Points



I apologize, I was being rude. Just because I dont see your POV doesn't mean I should be so crass. Forgive me.

Until the end of our testing we cannot take for granted our being saved; **and therefore when someone asks, "Brother, are you saved?" we do not give a categorically affirmative reply, but qualify our answer, "Thus far, yes!"**w52 7/1 p391 [See 1Jo 4 truth](#)



08-29-2006

#418

Toejamken

Junior Member

Join Date: Jul 2006
Location: NW Florida
Posts: 89
16 Reputation Points

Quote:

Originally Posted by **mondo**
Keith,

In [Isaiah 9:6](#), the LXX translators understood the name to show the child not as the one described by the name, but as the messenger of the one described by the name, "the messenger of grand council." Hebrew names all have meanings, and when it comes to God, they do not describe the child, but they say something about God. (not to say that some names don't discuss the child's qualities, but there is no basis for assuming that

in [Isaiah 9:6](#)). Thus Jehu means "Jehovah is he," but nobody would say that Jehu is Jehovah!

The ISBE has this to say about the term "name":

"I. OT Word and use...Besides designating persons, the name also stands for fame, renown, reputation, character gained or expressed, etc... II. NT Word and Use.- In the NT *onoma* has frequently also the significance of denoting the "character or "work" of the person."

It is well known that one of the ways God revealed His character to us is through terms placed in conjunction with His Divine Name. Jehovah Rapha, Jehovah Nissi, etc.

What is clear to me from reading [Isaiah 9:6](#) is that God is revealing the character of the child born. The application of what is meant by [Isaiah 8:3](#) and subsequent verses does not apply here because of the many names used conjunction with "Mighty God" in [Isaiah 9:6](#) . It is clear that the character of the Child is being revealed. My comments are supported by a great number of Bible scholars concerning this verse.

Ken



08-29-2006

#419

Keith Courage

CARM Super Member



Join Date: Jun 2006
Posts: 2,109
49 Reputation Points

Quote:

Originally Posted by [mondo](#)
You really aren't reading carefully. All of what is said as "might god, everlasting father, etc" is a single "name" it is not a description. If it said "he will be called," I would agree it describes Jesus, but it doesn't. It says "his name will be called," a singular name.

If it is a "singular" name as you put it, then you cannot split it out and apply part to Jesus and the rest to YHWH. The attributes of the "singular" name apply to one Person or the other. And if the Prince of Peace is not Jesus, then I rest my case.

Former Member of the Jehovah's Witnesses (1991-2006).

Soldier of the Cross, Defender of Christianity and the Gospel of Jesus Christ.

"And he that taketh not his cross, and followeth after me, is not worthy of me." - *Mat 10:38*



08-29-2006

#420

mondo

501-1000 Posts

Join Date: Mar 2006
Posts: 816
13 Reputation Points

Quote:

Originally Posted by [Toejamken](#)
*The ISBE has this to say about the term "name":
"I. OT Word and use...Besides designating persons, the name also stands for fame, renown, reputation, character gained or expressed, etc... II. NT Word and Use.- In the NT *onoma* has frequently also the significance of denoting the "character or "work" of the person."*

It is well known that one of the ways God revealed His character to us is through terms placed in conjunction with His Divine Name. Jehovah Rapha, Jehovah Nissi, etc.

What is clear to me from reading [Isaiah 9:6](#) is that God is revealing the character of the child born. The application of what is meant by [Isaiah 8:3](#) and subsequent verses does not apply here because of the many names used conjunction with "Mighty God" in [Isaiah 9:6](#) . It is clear that the character of the Child is being revealed. My comments are supported by a great number of Bible scholars concerning this verse.

Ken

Ken,

You rather shot yourself in the foot with your examples of places and things ("Jehovah Rapha, Jehovah Nissi, etc"), for this is exactly what I'm referring to! Those names include the very name of God, but they are not God! They are places and the name of those places include the divine name. This is exactly the same thing with the child. He is a child, he represents God as his Christ, yet he is not that God any more than the places you reference are God.

[Isaiah 8:3](#) and 9:6 use "name" in the same way. This is clearly brought out in the text.

8:3 "Call his name"

9:6 "his name shall be called"

You specifically state:

Quote:

The application of what is meant by [Isaiah 8:3](#) and subsequent verses does not apply here because of the many names used conjunction with "Mighty God" in [Isaiah 9:6](#)

This is one of the most damaging things to your position, which is that exactly the opposite of this is the case! The whole thing is **one** name! It is not several names, but a single name. It is not telling us titles that belong to the child, but it is the name of the child just as in [Isaiah 8:3](#). And again, as the LXX brings out, the child is named this because he is that one's "messenger."



Post Reply

Page 21 of 26 « First < 11 17 18 19 20 **21** 22 23 24 25 > Last »

« [Previous Thread](#) | [Next Thread](#) »

Quick Reply

message.

Please click one of the Quick Reply icons in the posts above to activate Quick Reply.

[Options](#)

Quote message in reply?

Post Quick Reply

Go Advanced

Posting Rules

You **may** post new threads
You **may** post replies
You **may not** post attachments
You **may** edit your posts

[vB code](#) is **On**
[Smilies](#) are **On**
[\[IMG\]](#) code is **On**
HTML code is **Off**

All times are GMT -4. The time now is 07:46 AM.
As of July 6, 2006, Total Page Views: 22,355,048, Page Views Today: 22,355,048

-- Default Style 

[Contact Us](#) - [Christian Discussion Forums](#) - [Archive](#) - [To](#)

Powered by vBulletin® Version 3.6.7
Copyright ©2000 - 2007, Jelsoft Enterprises Ltd.
copyright CARM 2006,2007
Get Weather for any City, State, Zipcode, or Country:

Fast Forecast